

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/602,691 06/20/2003		06/20/2003	Jean-Pierre Sommadossi	06171.IDX 1007 CON1 1388		
20786	7590	11/07/2005		EXAMINER		
KING & SP			OWENS JR, HOWARD V			
191 PEACHT		REET, N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 303	03-1763	1623			

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/602,691		SOMMADOSSI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
-		Howard V. Owe	· ·	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory n will apply and will expi e, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-fi	nal.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 130-143 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 130-143 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • • • •		` '				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	• •		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/9/04</u> .	5) <u>[</u> 6) <u>[</u>	Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,691

Art Unit: 1623

## **Detailed Action**

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 130-133 and 135-143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,777,395, Bhat et al. (Bhat).

Claim 130 is drawn to a method for treating hepatitis C via administration of a  $\beta$  - D-2'-methyl-ribofuranosyl nucleoside..

Dependent claims are drawn to including anti-hepatitis C agents with he

Application/Control Number: 10/602,691

Art Unit: 1623

compound for the treatment of hepatitis C.

Dependent claims are also drawn to the dosage form as a capsule or tablet containing 50 - 1000 mg and a substantially pure form of the compound wherein the weight is at least 90% or 95% of the composition.

Bhat anticipates the claims as it teaches a 2' methyl, 3' hydroxy nucleoside compound and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for the treatment of hepatitis C see columns 1-10. Bhat also teaches the use of additional agents in the treatment such as ribavirin, nucleotide analogs (polymerase inhibitors) and interferon (see col. 35, lines 10-40).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 130-143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. 6,777,395, Bhat et al. (Bhat).

Claim 130 is drawn to a method for treating hepatitis C via administration of a β - D-2'-methyl-ribofuranosyl nucleoside.

Dependent claims are drawn to including anti-hepatitis C agents with he compound for the treatment of hepatitis C.

Dependent claims are also drawn to the dosage form as a capsule or tablet containing 50 - 1000 mg and a substantially pure form of the compound wherein the weight is at least 90% or 95% of the composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,691

Art Unit: 1623

Bhat anticipates the claims as it teaches a 2' methyl-ribofuranosyl nucleoside nucleoside compound and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for the treatment of hepatitis C (see columns 1-10). Bhat also teaches the use of additional agents in the treatment such as ribavirin, nucleotide analogs (polymerase inhibitors) and interferon (see col. 35, lines 10-40).

Bhat does not teach helicase inhibitors as an additional agent, however, the combination of two agents known in the art to have utility separately for the same disease is obvious.

It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a helicase inhibitor as an additional agent in the treatment of hepatitis C.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine a  $\beta$  - D-2'-methyl-ribofuranosyl nucleoside compound and a helicase inhibitor for the treatment of hepatitis C since the prior art has recognized the separate utility of these compounds to treat hepatitis C and the suggestion by the prior art to include additional anti-hepatitis C agents with the nucleoside compound for the treatment of hepatitis C.

Howard V. Owens Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Owens whose telephone number is (571) 272-0658. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Supervisory Patent Examiner signing this action, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571) 272 - 0661.